



Date of Issuance: July 9, 2010

Solicitation No. 8070000440

Requisition No. _____

Amendment No. 1

Hour and date specified for receipt of offers is changed: No Yes, to: _____ @ _____ Central Time

Pursuant to OAC 580:15-4-5(c)(5), this document shall serve as official notice of amendment to the Solicitation identified above. Such notice is being provided to all suppliers to which the original solicitation was sent. Suppliers submitting bids or quotations shall acknowledge receipt of this solicitation amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation as follows:

- (1) Sign and return a copy of this amendment with the solicitation response being submitted; or,
- (2) If the supplier has already submitted a response, this acknowledgement must be signed and returned prior to the solicitation deadline. All amendment acknowledgements submitted separately shall have the solicitation number and bid opening date printed clearly on the front of the envelope.

ISSUED BY and RETURN TO:

U.S. Postal Delivery:

Oklahoma Health Care Authority
2401 N.W. 23rd Street, Suite 1-A
Oklahoma City, OK 73107-2423
or

Personal or Common Carrier Delivery:

Oklahoma Health Care Authority
2401 N.W. 23rd Street, Suite 1-A
Oklahoma City, OK 73107-2423

Competitive Process Management Team
Contracting Officer

(405) _____
Phone Number

CompetitiveProcessManagementTeam@okhca.org
E-Mail Address

Description of Amendment:

a. This is to incorporate the following:

1. OHCA Responses to Bidder's Questions
2. RFP Updates (including the OHCA Solicitation Request Form and Sections 1.1.1, 1.2.8, 3.1.2.4, 3.2.2.5, 3.3.2.7.3, 3.3.2.7.4, 4.3.3.2, and 5.2)
3. Revision of Appendix I-2B
4. Change of Address for Submission of Responses

b. All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

Supplier Company Name (PRINT) _____

Date _____

Authorized Representative Name (PRINT) _____ Title _____

Authorized Representative Signature _____

1. OHCA Responses to Bidder's Questions

No.	RFP Section	RFP Page	MMIS Takeover - Bidder Questions	OHCA Response
1.	General		Will the requirements outlined in the RFP supersede the 2011 Insure Oklahoma Renewal contract?	Yes
2.	General		Will OHCA approve services or staffing at locations outside of the continental United States?	Any work done outside U.S. would need to be evaluated and approved by OHCA.
3.	3.1.1.2.1.5 Takeover Staffing Plan	40	To facilitate face-to-face collaboration with Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V) PMP, will OHCA require the FA to assign a "key individual" to the Project Management Office (PMO) to work with an identified IV&V individual to provide oversight of takeover benchmarks and deliverables?	Yes, one of the key persons listed in section 5.2.6.1 must work with an identified IV&V individual to provide oversight of takeover benchmarks and deliverables. A team approach utilizing one of those key persons is likewise acceptable.
4.	3.1.2.1 FA Requirements, item 7	49	The RFP requires the vendor to "Submit all staff for approval to OHCA. Will OHCA approve all job classifications, including hourly personnel positions?"	Pursuant to section 3.1.2.1, OHCA will require approval for all key personnel and approve all job classifications, but not specific hourly personnel. OHCA will not approve job classifications for hourly personnel.
5.	3.1.2.4 Location of FA Operations, item 10	52	The RFP requires the vendor to provide "Customer service, fielding provider inquiry and providing response on claim status and working claims resolution" at the facility in the Oklahoma City area. Please specify the type of customer service to be performed at the FA location.	The FA will not be required to perform the function listed in item 10. It is no longer a requirement of this RFP.
6.	3.1.2.4 Location of FA Operations, item 8; 4.4.7.6 FA Responsibilities, item 10	52/253	Please provide the retention period or purge criteria for paper documents.	Per RFP section 7.1.6.5, the FA Contractor shall maintain records of all claims received, transactions processed, and adjudication decisions made by the system. The PI Contractor shall maintain records of all transactions processed by the system. These records must include all financial and programmatic records, supporting documents, statistics, and other records of members for a period of six years from the last date of decision, in accordance with 45 CFR 74.164.

No.	RFP Section	RFP Page	MMIS Takeover - Bidder Questions	OHCA Response
7.	3.2.1.1 Overview, item 2; Section 4 – Scope of Work Functional Requirements	56/101	<p>As the Agency stated in Section 4 – Scope of Work, "the intent of the As-Is requirements identified in this section is to identify functionality that exists in the current MMIS", with the exception of those requirements sections with (Enhancements) following it. It is understood that if the current Oklahoma MMIS provides functionality beyond the stated As-Is requirements the FA is responsible to continue to support that functionality.</p> <p>If additional system modifications are needed to meet the stated As-Is RFP requirements beyond those documented in Section 4 as enhancements, please confirm that these changes will be requested through the change order process and implemented by the systems modification team.</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>The incumbent FA is responsible for the As-Is. OHCA will work with the existing FA to ensure compliance with the As-Is requirements of the RFP.</p>
8.	3.2.2.2 System Modification Team Staffing Requirements	60	<p>This section requires 100 programmer/analysts. Section 7.10.5.3.1. Modification Activity-MMIS states that each staff person is expected to contribute 150 hours of productive work per month, which would be 15,000 total hours per month. Please clarify if it is OHCA's objective to have 100 programmer/analysts or to meet 15,000 total hours of productive work each month.</p>	<p>OHCA does not necessarily require 100 programmer/analysts; however the FA is required to provide a total of 15,000 hours of productive work each month and 180,000 hours each year.</p>
9.	3.2.2.2. System Modification Team Staffing Requirements , item 3	60	<p>Would OHCA consider nine individuals with a combined skill set of business analysts/programmer analysts or a mix of individuals with one or the other to meet this requirement?</p>	<p>OHCA would consider a mix of 9 individuals with a business analyst or programmer analyst skill set.</p>
10.	3.2.2.2 System Modification Team Staffing Requirements	60	<p>The RFP states that the systems manager, business analyst, and nine business analysts/programmer analysts must provide full-time support for the PI Replacement System modification on-site in Oklahoma.</p> <p>Please specify if this support is to be provided at the OHCA facility or at the Fiscal Agent facility in Oklahoma City.</p>	<p>Support is to be provided at the OHCA facility and at the Fiscal Agent facility in Oklahoma City, at the discretion of OHCA.</p>

No.	RFP Section	RFP Page	MMIS Takeover - Bidder Questions	OHCA Response
11.	3.2.2.5 Modification Task FA Responsibilities	62	The required monthly productive hours of 14,000 conflicts with the required monthly productive hours of 15,000 in section 7.10.5.3.1. Please specify which requirement is correct.	In section 3.2.2.5 item 23, 168,000 hours is incorrect. The correct yearly hourly total should be 180,000 and not 168,000.
12.	3.2.3.6.1 Issue Resolution Procedure, item 5	69	<p>The RFP states that one of the steps for reporting and resolving issues include “Resolve Issue – The issue owner will work to resolve the issue by the target resolution date.</p> <p>The typical timeframes for resolving an issue are as follows:</p> <p>5.1. High priority issue: three days. 5.2. Medium priority issue: seven days. 5.3. Low priority issue: 10 days. 5.4. If the issue is not resolved by the target date, then the project director (or designee) may choose to escalate the issue. The owner of the issue will confer with project management to determine the appropriate management escalation action to take. Please see the issue escalation process in subsection 3.2.3.6.2 Issue Escalation Process.”</p> <p>Please clarify that when referring to timeframes, the term “day” refers to a business day unless otherwise specified in the RFP.</p>	The term “day” refers to business day unless otherwise specified in the RFP.
13.	3.3.2.3 – General Deliverables	73	Do required deliverables, such as requirements specifications document, general system design, and detailed system design, apply to the takeover MMIS or enhancements?	These deliverable structures apply to all Phase I functions detailed in Section 1.1.3, where applicable.
14.	4.1.2.2 Processing, item 6	106	Should the vendor print the card issue date on the back or front of the card?	Print the card issue date on the back.

No.	RFP Section	RFP Page	MMIS Takeover - Bidder Questions	OHCA Response
15.	4.1.6.1 Inputs, item 1	119	The RFP states that the Online Enrollment function will accept “Application data from the web application in languages as specified by the State”. Please clarify if the modification pool of hours will be used to support additional languages, and if OHCA will prioritize the modification to support additional languages.	This item refers to an as-is state. As such, only languages being accepted by the system at the start of takeover are required.
16.	4.1.6.2 Processing, item 23.11	121	The RFP states that the Online Enrollment function will “Maintain online access to all applicant profiles, including data exchange inquiries and responses.” The system currently maintains the responses, but does not maintain the inquiries. Please clarify if the modification pool of hours will be used to support the addition of the inquiry function, and if this modification will be prioritized by OHCA.	Modification hours will be used and prioritization will occur during JAD sessions.
17.	4.1.6.3 Outputs, item 1	122	The RFP requires that the Online Enrollment function will “Display the eligibility determination result to the user or state agency partner in the languages specified by the State.” The current web application supports only the English language. Please clarify if the modification pool of hours will be used to support additional languages, and if OHCA will prioritize the modification to support additional languages.	Modification hours will be used and prioritization will occur during JAD sessions.
18.	4.1.7.2 Processing, item 13	125	Please clarify if the application data forwarded electronically to another agency/program on applicant’s behalf will be forwarded in real time or batch.	The option for both real time and batch is required.
19.	4.1.7.2, Processing, item 16	125	Please clarify which entity—the FA or the State—provides the Asset Verification System. Please specify if eligibility determinations can be done in real time or nightly with other applications processed that day.	FA to provide Asset Verification System. Eligibility determinations are to be displayed in real time.

No.	RFP Section	RFP Page	MMIS Takeover - Bidder Questions	OHCA Response
20.	4.1.7.3 Outputs	126	Should the web application include the member's option to receive notices by email or U.S.P.S. mail? If by email, will the State follow-up with U.S.P.S. mail?	Yes, If the email option is selected the State will also follow-up with U.S.P.S. mail.
21.	4.1.7.6 , FA Responsibilities, item 10	127	Please confirm that the State requires the FA to " Submit transactions from State eligibility systems for online update of member eligibility data." If so, please clarify this requirement.	Confirmed. In the current process a PS2 transaction is created from the Online Enrollment eligibility application (Eligibility subsystem), which gets sent through the PS2 processing in the MMIS to update eligibility in the Recipient subsystem. Detailed requirements for this enhancement will identified during JAD sessions.
22.	4.1.10.7, FA Responsibilities, item 1	140	Please provide the maintenance schedule for the secure member portal.	Maintenance schedules will be agreed-upon by OHCA prior to Go-Live.
23.	4.2.1.5 FA Responsibilities; 4.2.1.6 FA Performance Responsibilities 5.2.6.2.2 Additional support Personnel	149-150	Currently, six provider representatives perform the tasks associated with the requirements in sections 4.2.1.5 and 4.2.1.6. The representatives also are responsible for other projects, including LTC 300, NB-1, and other enhancements to the provider site, such as the Behavioral Health Collaborative. Section 5.2.6.2.2 states that three provider relations representatives are required to support all the provider information management requirements in sections 4.2.1.5 and 4.2.1.6. Is it OHCA's objective to have three representatives instead of six representatives perform the tasks in sections 4.2.1.5 and 4.2.1.6, in addition to the other miscellaneous projects?	The bidder is responsible for determining the appropriate number of resources to fulfill the requirements stated in this RFP. In addition to the resources determined to be appropriate by the bidder for the base contract, OHCA reserves the right to request the additional resources identified in section 5.2.6.2.2.
24.	4.3.3.2 Processing, item 3.6.	178	Please clarify if this requirement applies to all providers for billing purposes.	This is not for providers, but for insurance carriers. Some insurance carriers require billing numbers to differentiate the different plans they offer, so we require a billing number field in the carrier file.
25.	4.3.3.2 Processing, item 11.15	179	Please clarify what is meant by "the State's system."	This is not a valid use of "state's system". Requirement 4.3.3.2.11.15 will be deleted.

No.	RFP Section	RFP Page	MMIS Takeover - Bidder Questions	OHCA Response
26.	4.3.3.2 Processing, item 13	179	<p>The RFP states that the TPL function will "Provide the capability to bill carriers for "pay-and-chase" claims and automatically create a claim once totals have accumulated to the State defined updateable threshold amount."</p> <p>This feature was "turned off" and the function is currently performed by a third-party vendor. Does OHCA intend to reinstate this functionality? If not, please clarify this requirement.</p>	<p>OHCA would like to keep the functionality in the system in case it becomes more cost effective to perform this function in house. OHCA desires to keep a base system that can be tailored if we take on this responsibility in house.</p>
27.	4.3.3.2 Processing, item 36	180	<p>The RFP states that the TPL function will "Maintain a process to meet the requirements of the State Medicaid Manual regarding Medicaid payments for members under group health plans."</p> <p>Please clarify this requirement.</p>	<p>OHCA must meet the CMS State Medicaid Manual guidelines for members with private insurance, which includes things like keeping children with private insurance from being included in SCHIP counts.</p>
28.	4.3.6.4 Interfaces, item 1	191	<p>The Cerner Data specification used in MMIS implementation was Version 4.2. This version does not have a point-of-sale (POS) interface defined. The extracts defined by Cerner in this version include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Organization 2) Provider 3) Member 4) Claim Header 5) Claim Detail 6) Immunization 7) Medication 8) Lab Results <p>The MMIS does not currently provide a POS interface for ePrescribing. Please confirm that this requirement will be handled as a modification.</p>	<p>Yes, this requirement will be handled as a modification.</p>
29.	4.3.6.6 FA Responsibilities	191	<p>Please indicate if OHCA will continue its partnership with Cerner Corp in implementing the ePrescribing system or if the MMIS contractor will be responsible for this function. If the MMIS contractor is responsible, please confirm that this requirement will be handled as a modification.</p>	<p>The contract and all extensions with Cerner expire on June 30, 2011. The current plan is for OHCA to release a new RFP for electronic prescribing and the winning vendor will be expected to implement a SureScripts certified product.</p>
30.	4.3.9.2, Processing, item 3	201	<p>Please define what is meant by "a warning on claim denials" as it relates to this requirement.</p> <p>Please clarify if this requirement is different than setting a hard alert that causes the claim to deny or setting a soft alert that is an informational alert.</p> <p>Please describe the capability required to identify these alerts.</p>	<p>This would be additional warning information attached to a denied claim, therefore a hard edit.</p>

No.	RFP Section	RFP Page	MMIS Takeover - Bidder Questions	OHCA Response
31.	4.3.9.6, FA Responsibilities, item 8	203	Please clarify the documented First Data Bank standards referenced in this requirement.	First Data Bank was used as an example. The requirement is for the FA to provide a draft of electronic Pro-DUR standards and criteria for OHCA approval prior to activating them in the POS process.
32.	4.3.10.1 Inputs, item 8	204	Please clarify the term "inquiries" as it relates to this requirement. Does the reference to "ProDUR responses" refer to the responses providers make to address alerts?	Inquiries" relate to transactions that inquire as to a member's eligibility for a specific date. Yes, this reference is to provider responses through the POS/ProDUR system.
33.	4.3.10.2, Processing, item 2	204	Please clarify what is meant by "groupings, not only individually" as it relates to this requirement.	Provide the ability to assign multiple Pro-DUR alerts into a group, and then turn all alerts within that group on or off.
34.	4.3.10.6 FA Responsibilities, item 13	206	Does clinical abuse/misuse referenced in 42 U.S.C. 1396R-8(g)(2)(A) refer to the early refill screening?	This refers not only to early refill, but also could refer to drug duplication, ingredient duplication, therapeutic duplication, no supporting diagnosis, high dose, low dose, or any combination that might indicate clinical abuse or misuse of one or more medications.
35.	4.4.3.6. FA Responsibilities, item 7	237	Will OHCA consider equivalent work experience to meet the master's degree in health sciences requirement?	No.
36.	4.10.1.2 Processing, item 4	324	The RFP states that the General System will "Maintain indicators for members certified as members of recognized Indian tribes; and member profile information including language spoken, handicap access needed, health status identifying specialized medical needs, and member risk assessment data." Please clarify what is meant by "member risk assessment data" as it relates to this requirement.	Member risk assessment data refers to the MEDai risk assessment score.
37.	4.10.1.2 Processing, item 11	324	The RFP states that the General System will "Provide ability to apply incentive payments based on achievement of performance goals. Goals are developed via pre-defined criteria formulated from utilization data. Collaborative practice agreements between a physician and pharmacy form a pharmaceutical care team that is eligible for incentive payment." Please clarify the FA's responsibility in this requirement.	This is just an example of a type of incentive payment that could be implemented in the system.
38.	4.10.1 System Requirements	323-325	Please clarify if all of the General Requirements in section 4.10.1, including FA Responsibilities and FA Performance Responsibilities are applicable to the PI vendor. Please identify any excluded requirements, if applicable.	The PI vendor is also responsible for all General Requirements in section 4.10.1.

No.	RFP Section	RFP Page	MMIS Takeover - Bidder Questions	OHCA Response
39.	4.10.2 Security Management	325-327	Please clarify if all of the Security Management requirements in section 4.10.2, including FA Responsibilities and FA Performance Responsibilities are applicable to the PI vendor. Please identify any excluded requirements, if applicable.	The PI vendor is also responsible for all General Requirements in section 4.10.2.
40.	4.10.10.1 Inputs	348	Please specify the number of additional image types required. What are the expected formats of the images, for example, JPEG or TIF? Some examples of images include those using a camera at a dentist office and full-body x-ray. What is the expected resolution of the images being stored? Please specify the sizes of these images.	The images received would be those that might come as an electronic attachment. Imaging standards such as JPEG, TIF, or DICOM, for example, could be possible standards supported by the X 12 attachment. Resolution for some images is for medical decision making, others are to support regular business processes. The size of the images varies based on the type of attachment. Additional details will be provided during JAD sessions.
41.	4.10.10.4, Interfaces	346	Please clarify if the new interfaces to new systems include the new additional users of the COLD system that historically did not have access to COLD. Please specify the number of State personnel that will require direct access to COLD.	The number of State personnel that will require access to an imaging system is approximately 425.
42.	4.10.10.6, FA Responsibilities, item 3	349	Please clarify what is meant by the requirement to "maintain large quantity of data and provide timely efficient data retrieval."	See the definitions and guidelines referenced in the following sections: 3.2.3.2.1 System Response Time Definitions 3.2.3.2.2 System Response Time Requirements

No.	RFP Section	RFP Page	MMIS Takeover - Bidder Questions	OHCA Response
43.	5.1 General Proposal Requirements	352	<p>Please clarify if bidders are to submit separate proposals in separate binders in response to the MMIS Takeover with Enhancements and the PI Replacement System. For example, if bidding on the MMIS Takeover with Enhancements, a bidder would submit a Technical Proposal in a separate binder and Cost Proposal in a separate binder. If also bidding on the PI Replacement System, a bidder would submit a Technical Proposal in a separate binder and a Cost Proposal in a separate binder.</p> <p>If bidders are bidding on both the MMIS Takeover with Enhancements and the PI Replacement System, please specify if responses to the MMIS Takeover with Enhancements and the PI Replacement System responses are to be combined into one single Technical Proposal binder and into one single Cost Proposal binder.</p>	Yes, bidders are to submit separate technical and separate cost proposals in separate binders in response to the MMIS Takeover with Enhancements and the PI Replacement System even if bidding on both.
44.	5.2 Technical Proposal Requirements, items 1, 1.5 and 1.6	354-355	If bidders are to combine the technical responses to both the MMIS Takeover with Enhancements and the PI Replacement System into one single Technical Proposal binder, do the page limitations apply to each distinct part? For example, would there be a 500-page limit for Sections E, F, G, and H for the MMIS Takeover with Enhancements and another 500-page limit for Sections E, F, G and H of the PI Replacement?	Bidders are to submit separate technical and separate cost proposals in separate binders in response to the MMIS Takeover with Enhancements and the PI Replacement System even if bidding on both.
45.	5.2.1 Technical Proposal Requirements, item 1.3	355	To improve the quality of response to Section D—Project Management Plan as directed in Appendices I-2A, I-2B, and I-3, would OHCA consider increasing the page limit for this section?	Yes, 50 more, up to 150 pages total.
46.	5.2 Technical Proposal Requirements, 1.7	355	Are technical diagrams submitted on 11 X 17 size paper included in the page count?	Yes.
47.	5.2.4, Project Management Plan (PMP) – Technical Proposal Section D, item #12	358	Are vendors bidding on the PI Replacement System responsible for meeting the HIPAA X-12-5010 and HIPAA 5010 Enhancement requirements?	The FA is responsible for the requirements for the X12 5010 and NCPDP D.0 and ICD-10 enhancements. The PI replacement system vendor is only responsible to ensure the PI replacement system is able to accommodate the new data standards and formats.
48.	5.2.6.4, PI System Operations key Personnel, item 7	362	<p>The RFP requires that resumes include a minimum of three references, “at least two of whom are outside members.”</p> <p>Please clarify if “outside members” refer to references outside OHCA or outside the bidder’s organization.</p>	Both outside OHCA and outside the bidder’s organization.

No.	RFP Section	RFP Page	MMIS Takeover - Bidder Questions	OHCA Response
49.	7.10.5.3.1 Modification Activity – MMIS, item 2	420	Please clarify if OHCA intends to use the required 100 systems programmer/analysts specified in this section for the Phase II enhancements as defined Figure 1: Project Roadmap by Project Phase of the RFP or if the FA is expected to provide resources in addition to the 100 required to implement the enhancements.	Yes, the 180,000 annual systems programmer/analysts hours specified for the Phase II are required, and, additional resources/hours may be required to complete the operational enhancements.
50.	Appendix I-2B Requirements List – PI Replacement System	531	Appendix I-2B refers to Requirement Number 3.3.2.7.3.4; however, there is no reference to this requirement in the RFP. Should vendors change this to 3.3.2.7.4? If not, please validate this requirement.	Requirement 3.3.2.7.3.4 does not exist and is being deleted from Appendix I-2B Requirements List – PI Replacement System. OHCA has also deleted requirement 3.3.2.7.4 and modified section 3.3.2.7.3 to state the appropriate requirement.
51.	4.5.4 PI Replacement System (Enhancement) and Appendix J	280/ 537	Please confirm that Appendix J has been provided for bidders' detailed solution requirements and that there is no requirement for a narrative discussion of each of the items in Appendix J. Please confirm that bidders are to use Appendix I-2B as the guide to narrative discussion requirements?	Confirmed. Confirmed.
52.	Appendices I-2A and I-2B	506/ 530	The appendices indicate the requirement for prototypes for many requirements in section D. Many of these prototypes would be sample plans that can be lengthy. Would OHCA consider allowing vendors to put sample plans in an appendix to the proposal and omitting the pages of those sample plans from the page limit for sections D, E, F, G, and H?	An additional 50 pages have been allotted for section D as stated in OHCA's response to question 45. OHCA will consider appendices to any section in the total page count for that section.

No.	RFP Section	RFP Page	MMIS Takeover - Bidder Questions	OHCA Response
53.	N/A	N/A	<p>Under the provisions of the Oklahoma Open Records Act, 51 Okla. Stat. §§24A.1 et seq. (1991), I would like to request copies of all vendor responses to the Program Integrity Request for Information (RFI). This RFI was issued in February 2009.</p>	<p>The RFI for program integrity systems is part of the MMIS reprocurement process. Under Oklahoma Administrative Code 580:15-2-6, information submitted in response to a solicitation is available only after a supplier is selected and a contract awarded. The RFI responses will be available after the MMIS contract(s) are awarded, sometime about December 2010. However, OHCA is making available to all bidders a list of suppliers who responded to the RFI. Suppliers who responded to the RFI include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Aithent Allied Management Group CGI Federal FRAUExchange General Dynamics HMS IBM Ingenix McKesson Salient SPSS MEDSTAT Group UPI Government Group

No.	RFP Section	RFP Page	PI Replacement System - Bidder Questions	OHCA Response
54.	General	N/A	<p>Please provide an estimate of how many OHCA employees will be users of the various PI functions by component as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Case Management: managers, investigators, etc. • Medical Review (SURS): managers, nurse reviewers, nurse analysts, etc. • Data Analytics: power user, casual users 	<p><u>Case Management</u> Managers – 6 Reviewers – 10 Analysts – 26 Consultants (Dr.'s) – 5 Legal – 3 Finance – 2</p> <p><u>Medical Review</u> Managers – 3 Reviewers – 4 Analysts - 14 Consultants (Dr.'s) – 5 Legal – 3 Finance – 2</p> <p><u>Data Analytics</u> Power Users - 26</p>
55.	General	N/A	<p>Please provide an estimate of how many users <i>who are not OHCA employees</i> will be users of the various PI functions by organization (e.g., Fiscal Agent, MFCU, etc.) and by PI component as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Case Management: managers, investigators, etc. • Medical Review: managers, nurse reviewers, nurse analysts, etc. • Data Analytics: power user, casual users 	<p><u>All Components</u> MFCU – 2 (Read Only)</p>
56.	General	N/A	<p>Please provide the number of years/months of historical data that is to be loaded into each component of the PI Replacement System as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Case Management • Medical Review • Data Analytics 	<p><u>Case Management / Medical Review</u> All existing cases are to be transferred into the new system; approximately 5100 cases dating back to 1987.</p> <p><u>Data Analytics</u> No cases are to be transferred</p>
57.	Solicitation Instructions #14	2	<p>For the PI Replacement system contract, would OHCA be willing to include a limitation of liability capped at the value of the PI Replacement system contract? Given the fact that the total value of the PI Replacement system contract will be substantially less than the FA contract, accepting unlimited liability on the PI Replacement system contract will be very problematic. On this type of more limited contract, most states have been willing to provide a cap on liability that is related to the total value of the contract.</p>	<p>On April 14, 2006, the Attorney General of Oklahoma issued Attorney General Opinion No. 06-11 that, among other things, opined that under the Oklahoma State Constitution, contractual limitation of liability provisions contained in agreements with State agencies are void and unenforceable unless the amount of liability the State assumes is certain and budgeted for. While the parties to the contract resulting from this RFP process acknowledge the Attorney General's opinion, the parties further recognize that the Bidder (s) disagree with the Attorney General's opinion and contends that contractual limitation of liability provisions are enforceable and</p>

No.	RFP Section	RFP Page	PI Replacement System - Bidder Questions	OHCA Response
				<p>do not violate the State Constitution. As a result in the event that parties to the contract resulting from this RFP process have a dispute in which the enforceability of a contractual limitation of liability clause is relevant, then parties agree that either party may initiate suit in the State District Court for Oklahoma County seeking a declaratory judgment or any other relief available in law or equity regarding, among other things, the enforceability of a contractual limit of liability. Further, the parties shall have a right to appeal any ruling from the District Court to the extent permitted by applicable law.</p> <p>The various liability statements contained in Section 7.0 of the RFP remain as stated.</p>
58.	3.2.2.2	60	<p>With regard to the PI Replacement System, subsection 3.2.2.2 of the RFP specifies required staffing, including nine business analysts/programmer analysts or equivalent number of hours. This requirement seems to assume that a bidder will be proposing a solution that will either be developed from scratch or be proposed by a system integrator that will be doing significant development work. For a bidder who is proposing a proven and highly developed Commercial-Off-the-Shelf (COTS) software solution that will be configured to meet OHCA's needs, would OHCA provide flexibility for the bidder to propose a more cost-effective staffing and location configuration, so long as all of the State's functional requirements are effectively met, and the bidder agrees to be on-site when necessary for specific implementation activities?</p>	OHCA may consider staffing adjustments but not location.
59.	3.2.2.2 7.6	60 41- 411	<p>With regard to the PI Replacement System contract, our interpretation of subsection 7.6 is that PI contractor Key Personnel for both DD&I and Operations as listed in subsections 5.6.2.3 and 5.6.2.4 at page 362 must be located on-site in Oklahoma. Is this understanding correct? In addition, subsection 3.2.2.2 at page 60 requires that the System Manager and one Business Analyst must be full-time and on-site in Oklahoma. Is this understanding correct?</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p>
60.	4.5.1	264	Section 4.5.1 is documented under 4.5	Do not include Retrospective Drug

No.	RFP Section	RFP Page	PI Replacement System - Bidder Questions	OHCA Response
			Program Integrity but it is not mentioned in the first paragraph in section 4.6.4 on page 280. Is the PI Contractor to include section 4.5.1 Retrospective Drug Utilization Review (Retro-DUR) functionality in the PI System Replacement proposal?	Utilization Review in the PI System Replacement proposal.
61.	4.5.2.6 4.5.2.7 4.5.3.6 4.5.3.7	271 272 280 280	The listed subsections include provisions under the heading of "FA Responsibilities" instead of the reference to "Contractor Responsibilities" that is used under subsection 4.5.4 for the PI Contractor. Is this because the listed subsections cover FA takeover responsibilities prior to when the new PI Replacement system becomes operational? Is it OHCA's intent that the PI contractor would then be responsible for operations of these PI functions after the PI Replacement system is implemented?	Yes. Yes.
62.	4.5.3.2 #46	277	Would the State please clarify this requirement?	4.5.3.2 #46 states - "Provide the capability that includes the automatic and/or manual assignment of SUR Cases requiring re-evaluation where results from previous SUR review resulted in a finding requiring re-evaluation to be scheduled of a provider or member." This requirement is current system As-Is functionality and will also be required in the PI Replacement System.
63.	4.5.4	280	We interpret the last paragraph on page 280 to mean that the current PI system functionality is documented in sections 4.5.2 and 4.5.3. The new PI Replacement System (Enhancement) is documented in section 4.5.4 and Appendix J. Sections 4.5.2, 4.5.3, 4.5.4 and Appendix J form the composite requirements for the PI Replacement System (Enhancement). Is this interpretation correct? If not, would you please clarify?	The interpretation is correct. The requirements in section 4.5.4 and Appendix J cover all the new functionality for the replacement PI system. The PI replacement system must also provide the same functionality as the existing system as described by the As-Is requirements listed in sections 4.5.2 and 4.5.3. Sections 4.5.2 and 4.5.3 will be added to Appendix I-2B: Requirements List – PI Replacement System.
64.	4.5.4.1.3	282 and Appendix J	The Medical Review section in Section 4.5.4.1.3 does <u>not</u> have a counterpart that is referenced in Appendix J. Can we assume that Appendix J is complete, or is this item missing from Appendix J and needs to be added?	Do not assume it's complete, but is the most current information available at this time. Additional requirements may be defined during requirements verification (RV) and/or JAD sessions.
65.	7.1.4.1 #18	378	Will ARRA funds be used for this project?	OHCA does not believe this question is relevant to the bid.

No.	RFP Section	RFP Page	PI Replacement System - Bidder Questions	OHCA Response
66.	7.1.4.4	379	Does subsection 7.1.4.4 mean that certain Oklahoma administrative remedy provisions have to be followed or pursued before filing any legal proceedings?	Yes.
67.	7.1.7 (Ownership)	388-390	<p>The ownership provisions of RFP Section 7.1.1 are confusing regarding proprietary and commercial software. With regard to the PI Replacement System contract, please clarify the terms for Section 7.1.7.1 and 7.1.7.2 that would be applicable to standard commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) software that is configured, rather than modified, to meet Oklahoma's needs. Our business model involves annual licensing of our COTS program integrity software. On page 388, the RFP refers to "proprietary software" that may be exempt from the ownership clause. On page 380, the RFP refers to an exception to ownership rights for the use of "commercial software." For the PI Replacement System contract, is "proprietary software" and "commercial software" in Section 7.1.7 the same thing? If not what is the difference that OHCA intends, and what ownership terms, if any, are applicable to each?</p>	<p>Software will be defined proprietary and exemptions of State ownership granted based on Federal Regulation 45 CFR 95.617 – Software and Ownership Rights: (c) "Proprietary software. Proprietary operating/vendor software packages (e.g., ADABAS or TOTAL) which are provided at established catalog or market prices and sold or leased to the general public shall not be subject to the ownership provisions in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section. FFP is not available for proprietary applications software developed specifically for the public assistance programs covered under this subpart.</p> <p>Proprietary software and commercial software are products which exist prior to the start of the contract would be licensed to OHCA as per 45 CFR 95.617. For any other software developed during the contract or modifications to the proprietary or commercial software, using Federal and State funding, the following conditions apply:</p> <p>The State of Oklahoma and The United States Department of Health and Human Services shall have a non-exclusive, royalty free, and irrevocable license to reproduce or otherwise use and authorize others to use the software, procedures, files, and other documentation constituting the Oklahoma MMIS at any time during the period of the contract and thereafter.</p>
68.	7.6 #12	410	Does the State expect the PI Contractor to have the capability to direct a report to one or more specific State laser printer(s) at a State facility or does the State expect the PI contractor to provide 1 or more laser printers?	<p>The PI Contractor is not expected to provide printers.</p> <p>The PI Contractor must have the capability to send a report to a specified user(s) electronically.</p>
69.	Section 8 (Damages – Contract and Performance Requirements)	426-441	We are trying to understand which provisions in Section 8 are applicable to the PI Replacement System contractor. For some subsections (e.g., 8.1.5) the RFP clearly states that the subsection is not applicable to the PI Replacement System contractor. However, in some	Per section 1.1.3 - Unless otherwise specified, all aspects of this RFP apply to the bidders of the PI system as they do for the bidders of the MMIS.

No.	RFP Section	RFP Page	PI Replacement System - Bidder Questions	OHCA Response
			subsections (e.g., 8.2.1.15) the RFP standards reference the “FA” and say nothing about the PI Replacement System contractor. Does a performance requirement specifically for the “FA” mean that the provision does not apply to the PI Replacement System contractor and that the associated damages provisions also apply only to the FA?	
70.	Section 8 (Damages – Contract and Performance Requirements)	426-441	For damages that are applicable to the PI Replacement System contractor, can these be adjusted to be more proportional to the contract responsibility for the PI Replacement System contractor instead of being the same as the much larger FA contract? For example, under subsection 8.2.1.8 (Key Personnel), damages of up to a maximum of \$30,000 per occurrence may be assessed. This amount seems to be disproportionately large for the PI Replacement System contract.	Actual damages will be assessed relative to the severity and duration of the occurrence, to the maximum specified per occurrence.
71.	Appendix I-2B (3.3.2.7.3.4)	531	The requirement 3.3.2.7.3.4 in Appendix I-2B does not correlate to Section 3 of the RFP. Should 3.3.2.7.3.4 really be 3.3.2.7.4 (Conversion Activity Deliverables) or to some other subsection?	Requirement 3.3.2.7.3.4 does not exist and is being deleted from Appendix I-2B Requirements List – PI Replacement System. OHCA has also deleted requirement 3.3.2.7.3.4 and modified section 3.3.2.7.3 to state the appropriate requirement.
72.	Appendix J - 4.5.4.1.1 #41	544	We would like clarification as this requirement is open-ended. Does the State intend to use the Case Management System to manage work other than Case Management work? If so, the scope of work and system sizing may have to be significantly larger.	OHCA intends to use the Case Management system to manage the Program Integrity audits the agency conducts.
73.	Appendix J - 4.5.4.2.1. #33	553	What is OHCA’s current browser and version number?	Internet Explorer 7.0 as stated in the procurement library under “Library Files and MMIS User Documentation”.
74.	Appendix J- 4.5.4.2.3 #1	557	Please give an example of the Case Management data that you want provided back to the Medical Review function.	Details of this nature will be defined during JAD sessions.
75.	2.8 Current System Architecture	28	Does HCA use the MEDai system for episode of care and quality of analyses? If so, is this data in the DW/DSS in a form that can be extracted by the PI System to respond to the CMS PI requirements that require this grouping of claims information?	These are questions for the vendor to ask of MEDai directly. The PI vendor is expected to propose a complete solution that meets CMS certification criteria.

No.	RFP Section	RFP Page	PI Replacement System - Bidder Questions	OHCA Response
76.	2.8 Current System Architecture and 3.1.2.8 System Certification	28 54	<p>If the grouped information is not available from MEDai, or cannot be used by a 3rd party system, will the State agree to additional software to perform this grouping (at additional cost)?</p> <p>Or will the State agree to work with the MEDai data provider to allow the use of the data by the replacement PI System?</p>	<p>This approach would be evaluated as part of the vendor's technical and cost proposal during the proposal evaluation process.</p> <p>If the vendor desires to incorporate MEDai capabilities into their proposal then they should work with MEDai directly.</p>
77.	2.8.5 Data Maintenance	29	<p>Does the State expect data going back to 1997 to be available for use by the PI Replacement System?</p> <p>How many total years of data does the State expect to be in the PI Replacement System?</p>	<p><u>Case Management / Medical Review</u> All existing cases are to be transferred into the new system; approximately 5100 cases dating back to 1987.</p> <p><u>Data Analytics</u> No cases are to be transferred.</p>
78.	2.8.8.2 Data Security	30	<p>Will the State consider a PI Replacement System that does not have security down to the row and field level if this makes the system more cost effective to the State?</p>	No.
79.	2.8.8.5 RRI and COLD	31,32	<p>Are reports out of the PI Replacement system expected to be deposited in the COLD system?</p> <p>If so, how would the indexing be done?</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>The vendor must propose their indexing solution to be agreed upon by OHCA.</p>
80.	2.8.12 DSS/DW	33	<p>Would the State consider a COTS application that uses Cognos rather than Business Objects as the BI user interface?</p>	No.
81.	2.8.12 DSS/DW	33	<p>Would the State consider a COTS application that uses Cognos for dashboard reporting rather than Xcelsius/Web Intelligence?</p>	No.
82.	3.2.2.2 System Modification Team Staffing Requirements	60	<p>Would the State consider a COTS application that has the personnel responsible for system modification located off-site (entirely)?</p> <p>Would the State consider only a Subject Matter Expert (in the use of the PI system capabilities) onsite to support OK end users of the system?</p>	<p>No.</p> <p>No.</p>

No.	RFP Section	RFP Page	PI Replacement System - Bidder Questions	OHCA Response
83.	3.3 DDI Methodology for ... and PI Replacement System	72	<p>Would the State consider a COTS application that includes a proprietary methodology and which streamlines the methodology outlined in the State's RFP?</p> <p>Included could be the customizations (for the OK installation) of system documentation, training materials, and user manuals.</p>	<p>OHCA would consider a COTS application that includes a proprietary methodology, however, as stated in section 7.1.7.1: the State of Oklahoma and HHS shall have a non exclusive, royalty free, and irrevocable license to reproduce or otherwise use and authorize others to use the software, procedures, files, and other documentation constituting the Oklahoma MMIS at any time during the period of the contract and thereafter.</p> <p>Manuals and documentation developed specifically for this contract and using funds from this contract must be transferred to OHCA at the end of the contract. Other than that, the requirement is that the Contractor transfers to OHCA what is necessary for continuity of services.</p>
84.	4.5 Program Integrity and 4.5.4	264 280	<p>In section 4.5.1, Retro-DUR is described. In section 4.5.4, it is not called out as something the PI Replacement system vendor is required to provide. Please confirm that the PI Replacement System Vendor IS NOT REQUIRED to include a Retro DUR system as part of the PI Replacement System proposed.</p>	<p>Confirmed.</p> <p>Do not include Retrospective Drug Utilization Review in the PI System Replacement proposal.</p>
85.	4.5.3 SUR Case Tracking and 4.5.4 PI Replacement System (Enhancement)	272 280	<p>Would the State consider a COTS solution with an integrated Case Tracking solution that was not as functionally rich - and therefore less expensive to the State to install and maintain - as described in the RFP?</p> <p>Examples: No auto-assignment of cases to auditors, no free from ad-hoc access, no time tracking, no use of existing Business Objects structures, etc.</p>	<p>No.</p>
86.	4.5.3.2 Processing	276	<p>Is this state asking for a subsystem to track employee experience and skills, including UI pages and reporting capability?</p> <p>This is typically a human resources function and not part of a fraud and abuse detection/investigation system.</p>	<p>This requirement is an As-Is and is this being requested as part of the new PI system.</p> <p>This requirement ensures the appropriate personnel would be assigned the audit; for example if the provider type to be audited was an optometrist, the system would select the employee that matched that criteria, experience, expertise, etc. with performing audits of optometrists</p>
87.	4.5.4.2.1 Case Management	284	<p>Would the State agree to a 3rd party Web-based time recording system?</p>	<p>OHCA would consider a 3rd party Web-based time recording system.</p>

No.	RFP Section	RFP Page	PI Replacement System - Bidder Questions	OHCA Response
88.	4.5.4.2.3 Medical Review	286	Would the State consider a COTS solution that did not include Medical Review?	No.
89.	4.6 and 4.5.4.6.2	294 and 292	Does the State expect the CMS PI requirements 1.2, 1.9, 2.7 and 3.1 to be met by Care Management or by the PI Replacement System?	OHCA does not understand the reference to CMS PI requirements "1.2, 1.9, 2.7 and 3.1".
90.	Article 7 Contract Provisions, Terms, and Conditions	374-425	<p>The Contract Provisions do not contain any limit on the contractor's liability and as a result leave the contractor open to unlimited liability. The possibility of unlimited liability may discourage capable and financially sound contractors from bidding while having little deterrent effect on contractors that are less financially sound and who therefore could not pay a substantial damage award. Further, vendors may increase bid price to compensate for significantly increased risk – which is not of ultimate advantage to the State.</p> <p>Will the state negotiate a reasonable limitation of liability provision with the successful offeror that strikes an equitable balance between protecting the interests of the State and also those of the contractor?</p>	Same response as question # 4.
91.	Article 7 Contract Provisions, Terms, and Conditions	374-425	<p>The Contract Provisions do not contain any waiver of consequential damages. The possibility of substantial liability in the form of consequential damages may discourage capable and financially sound contractors from bidding while having little deterrent effect on contractors that are less financially sound and who therefore could not pay a substantial consequential damage award. Further, vendors may increase bid price to compensate for significantly increased risk – which is not of ultimate advantage to the State.</p> <p>Will the state negotiate a reasonable waiver or limitation of consequential damages provision with the successful offeror that strikes an equitable balance between protecting the interests of the state and also those of the contractor?</p>	Same response as question # 4.

No.	RFP Section	RFP Page	PI Replacement System - Bidder Questions	OHCA Response
92.	7.1.7.1	388-389	<p>This section states that: "Proprietary software proposed for use within a functional area of the planned Oklahoma MMIS may be exempt from this ownership clause. Exemptions would be granted if the proprietary product is defined as such and with sufficient specificity in the respondent's proposal that OHCA can determine whether to fully accept it as the desired solution during proposal evaluation. The contractor(s) shall be required to provide sufficient information regarding the objectives and specifications of any proprietary software to allow its functions to be duplicated by other commercial or public domain products."</p> <p>Can the state please provide clarification as to what would constitute "sufficient specificity in the respondent's proposal that OHCA can determine whether to fully accept it as the desired solution during proposal evaluation"?</p> <p>If a contractor identifies certain software as proprietary in its proposal and OHCA accepts the proposal, will OHCA grant an exemption if it awards a contract?</p> <p>If an exemption is granted will the OHCA accept a license in the proprietary software that is narrowly tailored to only allow operation and maintenance of the MMIS or PI system?</p>	Same response as question # 14.
93.	7.1.7.2	389	<p>This section states that: "OHCA, for itself and such other agencies of the State or of other agencies of the State or of other States or of the Federal government, will have unrestricted ownership rights to all software and related documentation prepared, acquired, designed, developed or improved by the Contractor(s) for delivery to OHCA under this contract."</p> <p>Is it correct to assume that this provision would not apply to either proprietary software or commercial software acquired or improved under the contract?</p> <p>If this is not a correct assumption please explain what rights the State would obtain in proprietary or commercial software that is acquired or improved under the contract.</p>	Same response as question # 14.

No.	RFP Section	RFP Page	PI Replacement System - Bidder Questions	OHCA Response
94.	7.1.16	396	<p>This section states: "The Contractor represents and covenants that it presently has no interest and shall not acquire any interest, direct or indirect, which would conflict in any manner or degree with the performance of its services hereunder."</p> <p>Please provide examples of what the State would consider to be conflicts with respect to the PI scope of work.</p>	OHCA suggests each Bidder consult their legal counsel.
95.	8 et. seq.	426-441	<p>This article specifies various liabilities and liquidated damages associated with particular conditions and occurrences.</p> <p>Will the State agree make these liabilities subject to a general limitation of liability provision or otherwise agree to limit the contractor's total exposure to these potential liabilities?</p>	Same response as question # 4.
96.	General	All	<p>Can the State be more specific with respect to the sources of data they expect to be used by the PI Replacement System?</p> <p>We ask the State to provide a list of the inputs by system and a brief description of the nature of the data in the input.</p>	OHCA has listed the high level inputs required for the PI Replacement system. Additional details will be defined during Joint Application Design (JAD) sessions.

2. RFP Updates

1. The address at the top of the "**Oklahoma Authority Solicitation Request**" to which sealed bids should be returned has been changed and is now:

Oklahoma Health Care Authority
Contracts Unit
2401 N. W. 23, Suite 1A
Oklahoma City, OK 73107-2423

2. Section 1.1.1 has changed and should now read as follows:

1.1.1 Issuing Authority

OHCA is issuing this RFP. Respondents should direct questions regarding the RFP process to the OHCA contact listed on the OHCA Solicitation Request Form. OHCA is located in the Shepherd Mall at:

Oklahoma Health Care Authority
2401 N. W. 23, Suite 1A
Oklahoma City, OK 73107-2423
(405) 522-7300 (telephone)

3. Section 1.2.8 has changed and should now read as follows:

1.2.8 Procurement Library

The procurement library contains a number of resource materials which bidders may find useful in preparing their proposals. A detailed list of its contents and location is included in Appendix B: Procurement Library Table of Contents. In order to gain access to the procurement library and MMIS system documentation, bidders must also submit a signed Non-Disclosure Agreement which can be found at the following link: [OHCA Procurement Portal](#).

Some of the procurement library resource material will be available on the OHCA Procurement portal at the following link: [OHCA Procurement Portal](#). Other resource material will only be available on-site at OHCA. To review this information, bidders must schedule an on-site visit.

The Competitive Process Management Team (CPMT) will serve as the contact point for scheduling visits to review material only available on-site. They may be reached utilizing the following contact information:

Competitive Process Management Team
Oklahoma Health Care Authority
2401 N. W. 23, Suite 1A
Oklahoma City, OK 73107-2423
Telephone: (405) 522-7431
Fax: (405) 530-3284

Email: CompetitiveProcessManagementTeam@okhca.org

The MMIS procurement library has been developed for use by prospective bidders and OHCA staff during the MMIS reprourement process. Where possible, electronic versions of the information will be made available (see subsection 1.2.8.3 Access to System Documentation). These materials, documentation, and other written information will be available for review in the procurement library according to the schedule listed in Table 5: Reprourement Schedule. OHCA reserves the right to add additional materials to the procurement library at any time until five business days prior to the proposal due date.

4. Item #10 under section 3.1.2.4 has been deleted.
5. Item #23 under section 3.2.2.5 has changed and should now read as follows:
 23. If the FA and OHCA agree that the change request cannot be accomplished with the available staff and hours (inclusive of the 180,000 annual hours available for modification support), the FA must respond with a detailed proposal when requested by OHCA, within 15 calendar days, containing:
6. Section 3.3.2.7.3 has changed and should now read as follows:

3.3.2.7.3 Conversion Activity Deliverables

The deliverables for the conversion activity require OHCA approval and include the conversion plan, conversion test results, and preliminary converted files.

7. Section 3.3.2.7.4 has been deleted:

~~**3.3.2.7.4 Conversion Activity Deliverables**~~

~~OHCA approval is required for the following deliverables for the conversion activities:~~

- ~~1. Conversion plan.~~
- ~~2. Conversion test results.~~
- ~~3. Preliminary converted files.~~

8. Item #11.15 under section 4.3.3.2 has been deleted.
9. The second paragraph of section 5.1 has been replaced with the following:
Bidders are to deliver in person or by certified mail all proposals in response to this RFP to the following address:

Oklahoma Health Care Authority
2401 N. W. 23, Suite 1A
Oklahoma City, OK 73107-2423

10. Item #1.3 under section 5.2 has changed and should now read as follows:
Section D – Bidders must limit the Project Management Plan (PMP) to a total of 150 pages. OHCA will discard any material in this section beyond the 150 pages. OHCA also will discard any material that in OHCA's belief is not in the nature of a PMP.
11. Item #1.4 under section 5.2 has changed and should now read as follows:
The 150-page count does not include work plans or Gantt charts that the PMP contains.
12. Section 7.1.3 has changed and should now read as follows:

7.1.3 Notices

Any written directions by OHCA shall be binding on the Contractor(s). Whenever OHCA is required by the terms of the contract to provide written notice to the Contractor(s), the OHCA Contracts Manager and CIO shall sign such notice. Any notice required or permitted to be given to a party shall be provided in both writing and email.

Notice in writing to OHCA shall be addressed as follows:

**OHCA Contracts Manager
Oklahoma Health Care Authority
P. O. Box 18497
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73154**

Notice sent via email to OHCA shall be addressed to:

Email address: **To Be Provided**

Notice in writing to the Contractor(s) shall be addressed as follows:

Fiscal Agent: Account Manager

Notice sent via email to the Contractor(s) shall be addressed to:

Email address: _____

Either party may change its addresses or address for the receipt of notices by notice given in accordance with this subsection. For notices given by certified mail, return receipt requested shall be sufficient. Notices delivered by ordinary mail or in hand shall not be sufficient unless acknowledged in writing by the addressee. Whether sent by certified mail or ordinary mail, notices shall also be sent via email. All notices shall be clearly labeled as change notices.

Daily correspondence will not be considered adequate notice of a change. Daily operational correspondence between the parties shall be addressed as follows:

Correspondence submitted via mail to OHCA shall be addressed to:

OHCA: Chief Information Officer

Oklahoma Health Care Authority

2401 N. W. 23, Suite 1A

Oklahoma City, OK 73107-2423

Correspondence submitted via email to OHCA shall be addressed to:

Email address: **To Be Provided**

Correspondence submitted via mail to Contractor(s) shall be addressed to:

Fiscal Agent: Account Manager

Correspondence submitted via email to Contractor(s) shall be addressed to:

Email address: _____

3. Revision of Appendix I-2B

Appendix I-2B: Requirements List – PI Replacement System has changed to include the As-Is functionality identified in requirements listed under sections 4.5.2 and 4.5.3. As a result, Appendix I-2B is replaced with the following:

Appendix I-2B: Requirements List – PI Replacement System

Requirement Number	Technical Bid Section	Discussion Points (Appendix I-3)										Vendor Check-Off	Vendor Bid Cross-Reference	Modification Evaluation: Appendix I-4
		1 - Understanding	2 - Approach	3 - Deliverable development	4 - Prototypes	5 - Experience and capability	6 - Hardware and software	7 - Agreement or acknowledgement	8 - Staff qualifications	9 - Discussion of requirement	10 - Discussion of solution			
Executive Summary														
5.2.3	C	X	X			X		X		X	X			
Project Management Plan														
5.2.4	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.1	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.1.1	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.1.1.1	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.1.1.2.1.1	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.1.1.2.1.2	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.1.1.2.1.3	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.1.1.2.1.4	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.1.1.2.1.6	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.1.1.2.1.7	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.1.1.2.1.8	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.1.1.2.2.1	D	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X			
3.1.1.2.2.2	D							X						
3.1.1.2.2.3	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.1.1.2.2.4	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.1.1.2.3	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.1.1.2.4.1	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.1.1.2.4.2	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.1.1.2.5	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.1.2.3	D							X						
3.1.2.4	D	X	X					X		X	X			
3.1.2.7	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.2	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			

Appendix I-2B: Requirements List – PI Replacement System

Requirement Number	Technical Bid Section	Discussion Points (Appendix I-3)										Vendor Check-Off	Vendor Bid Cross-Reference	Modification Evaluation: Appendix I-4
		1 - Understanding	2 - Approach	3 - Deliverable development	4 - Prototypes	5 - Experience and capability	6 - Hardware and software	7 - Agreement or acknowledgement	8 - Staff qualifications	9 - Discussion of requirement	10 - Discussion of solution			
3.2.1	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.2.1.1	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.2.1.3	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.2.1.5	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.2.1.6	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.2.2	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.2.2.1	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.2.2.5	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.2.2.6	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.2.3.5	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.2.3.5.1	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.2.3.5.2	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.2.3.6	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.2.3.6.1	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.2.3.6.2	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.2.3.7	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.3	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.3.2	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.2	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.3	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.4	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.5	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.5.2	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.5.3	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.5.3.1	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.5.3.2	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.5.3.3	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.5.3.4	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.6	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.6.2	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.6.3	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.6.3.1	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.6.3.2	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			

Appendix I-2B: Requirements List – PI Replacement System

Requirement Number	Technical Bid Section	Discussion Points (Appendix I-3)										Vendor Check-Off	Vendor Bid Cross-Reference	Modification Evaluation: Appendix I-4
		1 - Understanding	2 - Approach	3 - Deliverable development	4 - Prototypes	5 - Experience and capability	6 - Hardware and software	7 - Agreement or acknowledgement	8 - Staff qualifications	9 - Discussion of requirement	10 - Discussion of solution			
3.3.2.6.3.3	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.6.3.4	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.6.3.5	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.6.3.6	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.6.3.7	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.6.3.8	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.6.3.9	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.6.4	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.7	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.7.2	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.7.3	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.7.3.1	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.7.3.2	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.7.3.3	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.8	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.8.2	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.8.3	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.8.4	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.9	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.9.2	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.9.3	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.9.4	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.9.5	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.3.2.9.5.1	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.4	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.4.2	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.4.2.1	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.4.2.2	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.4.2.3	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.4.2.4	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.4.2.5	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.4.3	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.5	D	X	X			X		X		X	X			

Appendix I-2B: Requirements List – PI Replacement System

Requirement Number	Technical Bid Section	Discussion Points (Appendix I-3)										Vendor Check-Off	Vendor Bid Cross-Reference	Modification Evaluation: Appendix I-4
		1 - Understanding	2 - Approach	3 - Deliverable development	4 - Prototypes	5 - Experience and capability	6 - Hardware and software	7 - Agreement or acknowledgement	8 - Staff qualifications	9 - Discussion of requirement	10 - Discussion of solution			
3.5.1	D	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
MMIS Technical and Functional Approach														
5.2.5	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.2.3	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.2.3.1	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.2.3.2	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.2.3.2.1	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.2.3.2.2	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.2.3.3	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.2.3.4	E	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X			
3.2.3.8	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.2.3.9	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.2.3.10	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.2.3.10.2	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
3.2.3.10.3	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.2.1	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.2.2	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.2.3	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.2.4	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.2.6	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.2.7	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.3	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.3.1	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.3.2	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.3.3	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.3.4	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.3.6	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.3.7	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.4	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.4.1	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.4.1.1	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.4.1.2	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			

Appendix I-2B: Requirements List – PI Replacement System

Requirement Number	Technical Bid Section	Discussion Points (Appendix I-3)										Vendor Check-Off	Vendor Bid Cross-Reference	Modification Evaluation: Appendix I-4
		1 - Understanding	2 - Approach	3 - Deliverable development	4 - Prototypes	5 - Experience and capability	6 - Hardware and software	7 - Agreement or acknowledgement	8 - Staff qualifications	9 - Discussion of requirement	10 - Discussion of solution			
4.5.4.1.3	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.4.2	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.4.2.1	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.4.2.2	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.4.2.3	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.4.3	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.4.3.1	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.4.3.2	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.4.3.3	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.4.4	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.4.4.1	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.4.4.2	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.4.4.3	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.4.6	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.4.6.1	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.4.6.2	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.4.6.3	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.4.7	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.4.7.1	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.4.7.2	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.5.4.7.3	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.10	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.10.1	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.10.1.1	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.10.1.2	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.10.1.3	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.10.1.4	E	X												
4.10.1.6	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.10.1.7	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.10.2	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.10.2.1	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.10.2.2	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.10.2.3	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			

Appendix I-2B: Requirements List – PI Replacement System

		Discussion Points (Appendix I-3)												
Requirement Number	Technical Bid Section	1 - Understanding	2 - Approach	3 - Deliverable development	4 - Prototypes	5 - Experience and capability	6 - Hardware and software	7 - Agreement or acknowledgement	8 - Staff qualifications	9 - Discussion of requirement	10 - Discussion of solution	Vendor Check-Off	Vendor Bid Cross-Reference	Modification Evaluation: Appendix I-4
4.10.2.4	E	X												
4.10.2.6	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
4.10.2.7	E	X	X			X		X		X	X			
Staff Qualifications and Experience														
5.2.6	F	X	X			X		X	X	X	X			
3.1.1.2.1.5	F	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X			
3.2.1.2	F	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X			
3.2.2.2	F	X	X			X		X	X	X	X			
3.3.1	F	X	X			X		X	X	X	X			
Firm Past Performance and Qualifications														
5.2.7	G	X	X			X		X		X	X			
Corporate Stability and Resources														
5.2.8	H	X	X			X		X		X	X			